1998 Comprehensive Ground Water Monitoring Evaluation (CME)

Philadelphia Coke Company
City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County

Pa. D.E.P., Southeast Regional Office
Waste Management Program
Suite 6010 Lee Park
555 North Lane Conshohocken, PA 19428

Facility Location:

The former Philadelphia Coke Company site is located on Richmond Street, in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County. A facility location map is provided as **Figure 1**. This map is excerpted from the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Series, **Frankford Quadrangle**.

Narrative:

The former Philadelphia Coke Company site was the subject of a RCRA CME inspection in November of 1997 . CME reports have been completed at this facility since 1991. Contaminants of concern historically associated with this closed coal tar decanting operation include Trichloroethene, Tetrachloroethene, and 1-2 Dichloroethene.

Based on a detailed summary of historic ground water quality at this facility, approval was granted by the Department, in September of this year, to reduce ground water monitoring at this facility from the previous quarterly requirement, to an annual frequency. This summary report is included in **Appendix B**, and the Department's Approval letter is provided as part of **Appendix C.**

CME Worksheet:

A completed Comprehensive Ground Water Monitoring Evaluation Worksheet is provided as **Appendix A**.

Analytical Results:

Analytical results for ground water samples collected in 1997 are provided as **Appendix D**. This includes results for analyses of samples collected and analyzed by the facility operator and also by the Department, during a "split" sampling event.

Figure I
(Facility Location Map)

Philadelphia Coke Company
City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County
(not to scale)



Excerpted From:
U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Series, **Frankford Quadrangle**
(large shaded circle marks approximate location of facility)

Appendix A(Comprehensive Ground Water Monitoring Evaluation Worksheet))

APPENDIX A

COMPREHENSIVE GROUND-WATER MONITORING EVALUATION WORKSHEET

The following worksheets have been designed to assist the enforcement officer/ technical reviewer in evaluating theground-water monitoring system an owner/operator uses to collect and analyze samples of ground water. The focus of the worksheets is technical adequacy as it relates to obtaining and analyzing representative samples of ground water. The basis of the worksheets is the final RCRA Ground Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document which describes in detail the aspects of ground-water monitoring which EPA deems essential to meet the goals of RCRA. Appendix A is not a regulatory checklist. Specific technical deficiencies in the monitoring system can, however, be related to the regulations as illustrated in Figure 4.3 taken from the RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Compliance Order Guide (COG) (included at the end of the appendix). The enforcement officer, in developing an enforcement order, should relate the technical assessment from the worksheets to the regulations using Figure 4.3 from the COG as a guide.

Comprehensive Ground-Water Monitoring Evaluation	Y/N
I. Office Evaluation Technical Evaluation of the Design of the Ground-Water Monitoring System **A. Review of Relevant Documents** 1. What documents were obtained prior to conducting the inspection: a. RCRA Part A permit application?	N
b. RCRA Part B permit application?	N
c. Correspondence between the owner/operator and appropriate agencies or citizen's groups?	Y
d. Previously conducted facility inspection reports?	Y
e. Facility's contractor reports?	Y
f. Regional hydrogeologic, geologic, or soil reports?	N
g. The facility's Sampling and Analysis Plan?	Y
h. Ground-water Assessment Program Outline (or Plan, if thefacility is in assessment monitoring)?	Y
i. Other (specify) ______________________________	

	Y / N
B. Evaluation of the Owner/Operator's Hydrogeologic Assessment 1. Did the owner/operator use the following direct techniques in the hydrogeologic assessment.	
a. Logs of the soil borings/rock corings (documented by a professional geologist, soil scientist, or geotechnical engineer)?	Y
b. Materials tests (e.g., grain size analyses, standard penetration tests, etc.)?	N
c. Piezometer installation for water level measurments at different depths?d. Slug tests?	N
e. Pump tests?	N
f. Geochemical analyses of soil samples?	N
g. Other (specify) (e.g., hydrochemical diagrams and wash analysis)	N
2. Did the owner/operator use the following indirect technique to supplement direct techniques data:	
a. Geophysical well logs?	N
b. Tracer studies?	N
c. Resistivity and/or electromagnetic conductance?	N
d. Seismic Survey?	N
e. Hydraulic conductivity measurements of cores?	N
f. Aerial photography?	N
g. Ground penetrating radar?	N
h. Other (specify)	N
3. Did the owner/operator document and present the raw data from the site hydrogeologic assessment?	Y
4. Did the owner/operator document methods (criteria) used to correlate and analyze the information?	Y
5. The owner/operator prepare the following:	
a. Narrative description of geology?	Y
b. Geologic cross sections?	Y
c. Geologic and soil maps?	Y
d. Boring/coring logs?	Y
e. Structure contour maps of the differing water bearing zones and confining layer?	Y
f. Narrative description and calculation of ground-water flows?	Y

	Y/N
g. Water table/potentiometric map?	Y
h. Hydrologic cross sections?	Y
6. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional map of the area and delineate the facility?	Y
If yes, does this map illustrate: a. Surficial geology features?	Y
b. Streams, rivers, lakes, or wetlands near the facility?	N
c. Discharging or recharging wells near the facility?	N
7. Did the owner/operator obtain a regional hydrogeologic map?	Y
If yes, does this hydrogeologic map indicate: a. Major areas of recharge/discharge?	Y
b. Regional ground-water flow direction?	Y
c. Potentiometric contours which are consistent with observed water level elevations?	Y
8. Did the owner/operator prepare a facility site map?	Y
If yes, does the site map show: a. Regulated units of the facility (e.g., landfill areas,impoundments)?	Y
b. Any seeps, springs, streams, ponds, or wetlands?	Y
c. Location of monitoring wells, soil borings, or test pits?	Y
d. How many regulated units does the facility have? 2	—
If more than one regulated unit then, • Does the waste management area encompass all regulated units?	Y
• Is a waste management area delineated for each regulated unit?	Y
C. Characterization of Subsurface Geology of Site 1. Soil boring/test pit program:	
a. Were the soil borings/test pits performed under thesupervision of a qualified professional?	Y
b. Did the owner/operator provide documentation for selecting the spacing for borings?	Y
c. Were the borings drilled to the depth of the first confining unit below the uppermost zone of saturation or ten feet into bedrock?	Y
d. Indicate the method(s) of drilling:	?

	Y/N
Auger (hollow or solid stem) ____ Mud rotary ____ Reverse rotary ____ Cable tool ____ Jetting ____ Other (specify) ____	
e. Were continuous sample corings taken?	N
f. How were the samples obtained (checked method[s]) • Split spoon X • Shelby tube, or similar ____ • Rock coring ____ • Ditch sampling ____ • Other (explain) ____	
g. Were the continuous sample corings logged by a qualified professional in geology?	N/A
h. Does the field boring log include the following information: • Hole name/number?	Y
• Date started and finished?	Y
• Driller's name?	Y
• Hole location (i.e., map and elevation)?	Y
• Drill rig type and bit/auger size?	?
• Gross petrography (e.g., rock type) of each geologic unit?	Y
• Gross mineralogy of each geologic unit?	Y
• Gross structural interpretation of each geologic unit and structural features (e.g., fractures, gouge material, solution channels, buried streams or valleys, identification of depositional material)?	Y
• Development of soil zones and vertical extent and description of soil type?	Y
• Depth of water bearing unit(s) and vertical extent of each?	Y
• Depth and reason for termination of borehole?	Y
• Depth and location of any contaminant encountered in borehole?	Y
• Sample location/number?	Y
• Percent sample recovery?	N
• Narrative descriptions of: —Geologic observations?	Y
—Drilling observations?	Y
i. Were the following analytical tests performedon the core samples: • Mineralogy (e.g., microscopic tests and x-ray diffraction)?	N
• Petrographic analysis: —degree of crystallinity and cementation of matrix?	N
—degree of sorting, size fraction (i.e., sieving), textural variations?	N
—rock type(s)?	N

	Y/N
—soil type?	N
—approximate bulk geochemistry?	N
—existence of microstructures that may effect or indicate fluid flow?	N
• Falling head tests?	N
• Static head tests?	N
• Settling measurements?	N
• Centrifuge tests?	N
• Column drawings?	N
D. Verification of Subsurface Geological Data	
1. Has the owner/operator used indirect geophysical methods to supplement geological conditions between borehole locations?	N
2. Do the number of borings and analytical data indicate that the confining layer displays a low enough permeability to impede the migration of contaminants to any stratigraphically low water-bearing units?	Y
3. Is the confining layer laterally continuous across the entire site?	?
4. Did the owner/operator consider the chemical compatibility of the site-specific waste types and the geologic materials of the confining layer?	?
5. Did the geologic assessment address or provide means for resolution of any information gaps of geologic data?	Y
6. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for petrography?	N/A
7. Do the laboratory data corroborate the field data for mineralogy and subsurface geochemistry?	N/A
E. Presentation of Geologic Data	
1. Did the owner/operator present geologic cross sections of the site?	Y
2. Do cross sections:	
a. identify the types and characteristics of the geologic materials present?	Y
b. define the contact zones between different geologic materials?	Y
c. note the zones of high permeability or fracture?	Y
d. give detailed borehole information including:	

	Y/N
• location of borehole?	Y
• depth of termination?	Y
• location of screen (if applicable)?	Y
• depth of zone(s) of saturation?	Y
• backfill procedure?	N
3. Did the owner/operator provide a topographic map which was constructed by a licensed surveyor?	Y
4. Does the topographic map provide: a. contours at a maximum interval of two-feet?	Y
b. locations and illustrations of man-made features (e.g., parking lots, factory buildings, drainage ditches, storm drain, pipelines, etc.)?	Y
c. descriptions of nearby water bodies?	Y
d. descriptions of off-site wells?	N
e. site boundaries?	Y
f. individual RCRA units?	Y
g. delineation of the waste management area(s)?	Y
h. well and boring locations?	Y
5. Did the owner/operator provide an aerial photograph depicting the site and adjacent off-site features?	Y
6. Does the photograph clearly show surface water bodies, adjacent municipalities, and residences and are these clearly labelled?	N
F. Identification of Ground-Water Flowpaths 1. Ground-water flow direction a. Was the well casing height measured by a licensed surveyor to the nearest 0.01 feet?	Y
b. Were the well water level measurements taken within a 24 hour period?	Y
c. Were the well water level measurements taken to the nearest 0.01 feet?	Y
d. Were the well water levels allowed to stabilize after construction and development for a minimum of 24 hours prior to measurements?	Y
e. Was the water level information obtained from (check appropriate one): • multiple piezometers placed in single borehole? ____ • vertically nested piezometers in closely spaced separate ____ • boreholes? ____ • monitoring wells? __X__	

	Y/N
f. Did the owner/operator provide construction details for the piezometers?	Y
g. How were the static water levels measured (check method[s]). • Electric water sounder ______ • Wetted tape ______ • Air line ______ • Other (explain) ______	
h. Was the well water level measured in wells with equivalent screened intervals at an equivalent depth below the saturated zone?	N
i. Has the owner/operator provided a site water table (potentiometric) contour map?	Y
If yes, • Do the potentiometric contours appear logical and accurate based on topography and presented data? (Consult water level data)	Y
• Are ground-water flow-lines indicated?	Y
• Are static water levels shown?	Y
• Can hydraulic gradients be estimated?	Y
j. Did the owner/operator develop hydrologic cross sections of the vertical flow component across the site using measurements from all wells?	N
k. Do the owner/operator's flow nets include: • piezometer locations?	Y
• depth of screening?	Y
• width of screening?	Y
• measurements of water levels from all wells and piezometers?	Y
2. Seasonal and temporal fluctuations in ground-water	
a. Do fluctuations in static water levels occur? If yes, are the fluctuations caused by any of the following:	Y
—Off-site well pumping	?
—Tidal processes or other intermittent natural variations (e.g., river stage, etc.)	Y
—On-site well pumping	N
—Off-site, on-site construction or changing land use patterns	Y
—Deep well injection	N
—Seasonal variations	N
—Other (specify) ______	—
b. Has the owner/operator documented sources and patterns that contribute to or affect the ground-water patterns below the waste management?	?
c. Do water level fluctuations alter the general ground-water gradients and flow directions?	N
d. Based on water level data, do any head differentials occur that may indicate a vertical flow component in the saturated zone?	?

	Y/N
e. Did the owner/operator implement means for gauging long term effects on water movement that may result from on-site or off-site construction or changes in land-use patterns?	N
3. Hydraulic conductivity a. How were hydraulic conductivities of the subsurface materials determined?	?
• Single-well tests (slug tests)?	-
• Multiple-well tests (pump tests)	-
• Other (specify) ______	-
b. If single-well tests were conducted, was it done by: • Adding or removing a known volume of water?	-
• Pressurizing well casing?	-
c. If single well tests were conducted in a highly permeable formation, were pressure transducers and high-speed recording equipment used to record the rapidly changing water levels?	-
d. Since single well tests only measure hydraulic conductivity in a limited area, were enough tests run to ensure a representative measure of conductivity in each hydrogeologic unit?	-
e. Is the owner/operator's slug test data (if applicable) consistent with existing geologic information (e.g., boring logs)?	-
f. Were other hydraulic conductivity properties determined?	-
g. If yes, provide any of the following data, if available: • Transmissivity ____ • Storage coefficient ____ • Leakage ____ • Permeability ____ • Porosity ____ • Specific capacity ____ • Other (specify) ______	-
4. Identification of the uppermost aquifer a. Has the extent of the uppermost saturated zone (aquifer) in the facility area been defined? If yes,	Y
• Are soil boring/test pit logs included?	Y
• Are geologic cross-sections included?	Y
b. Is there evidence of confining (competent, unfractured, continuous, and low permeability) layers beneath the site? If yes,	Y
• how was continuity demonstrated? SUBSURFACE BORINGS	
c. What is hydraulic conductivity of the confining unit (if present)? CM/Sec How was it determined?	?

	Y/N
d. Does potential for other hydraulic communication exist (e.g., lateral incontinuity between geologic units, facies changes, fracture zones, cross cutting structures, or chemical corrosion/alteration of geologic units by leachage? If yes or no, what is the rationale? ____ ____ ____	
G. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Ground-Water Monitoring System—Monitoring Well Design and Construction: **These questions should be answered for each different well design present at the facility.** **1. Drilling Methods** **a. What drilling method was used for the well?** • Hollow-stem auger ☐ • Solid-stem auger ☐ • Mud rotary ☐ • Air rotary ☐ • Reverse rotary ☐ • Cable tool ☐ • Jetting ☐ • Air drill w/ casing hammer ☐ • Other (specify) ____	?
b. Were any cutting fluids (including water) or additives used during drilling? If yes, specify: • Type of drilling fluid ____ • Source of water used ____ • Foam ____ • Polymers ____ • Other ____	?
c. Was the cutting fluid, or additive, identified?	?
d. Was the drilling equipment steam-cleaned prior to drilling the well? • Other methods ____	?
e. Was compressed air used during drilling? If yes, • was the air filtered to remove oil?	?
f. Did the owner/operator document procedure for establishing the potentiometric surface? If yes, • how was the location established? STATIC H_2O LEVELS	Y
g. Formation samples	

	Y/N
• Were formation samples collected initially during drilling?	Y
• Were any cores taken continuous?	N/A
• If not, at what interval were samples taken?	?
• How were the samples obtained? X Split spoon — Shelby tube — Core drill — Other (specify)	
• Identify if any physical and/or chemical tests were performed on the formation samples (specify) __________ __________ __________	?
2. Monitoring Well Construction Materials **a. Identify construction materials (by number) and diameters (ID/OD)** **Material** / **Diameter** • **Primary Casing** — PVC — 4" • **Secondary or outside casing (doubleconstruction)** — STEEL — 8" • **Screen** — PVC — 4"	
b. How are the sections of casing and screen connected? • **Pipe sections threaded**	?
• **Couplings (friction) with adhesive or solvent**	
• **Couplings (friction) with retainer screws**	
• **Other (specify)**	
c. Were the materials steam-cleaned prior to installation? • **If no, how were the materials cleaned?** __________	?
3. Well Intake Design and Well Development **a. Was a well intake screen installed?**	Y
• **What is the length of the screen for the well?** 10 - 20 '	
• **Is the screen manufactured?**	Y
b. Was a filter pack installed?	Y
• **What kind of filter pack was employed?** SAND	
• **Is the filter pack compatible with formationmaterials?**	Y
• **How was the filter pack installed?** POURED AROUND SCREEN	

	Y/N
• What are the dimensions of the filter pack? ____________________	?
• Has a turbidity measurement of the well water ever been made?	Y
• Have the filter pack and screen been designed for the insitu materials? ____________________	Y
c. Well development • Was the well developed?	Y
• What technique was used for well development? —Surge block —Bailer —Air surging —Water pumping —Other (specify) ____________________	?
4. Annular Space Seals **a. What is the annular space in the saturated zone directlyabove the filter pack filled with:** **—Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit)** **—Cement (specify neat or concrete)** **—Other (specify)**	?
b. Was the seal installed by: **—Dropping material down the hole and tamping** **—Dropping material down the inside of hollow-stem auger** **—Tremie pipe method** **—Other (specify)**	?
c. Was a different seal used in the unsaturated zone? If yes,	?
• Was this seal made with? **—Sodium bentonite (specify type and grit)** **—Cement (specify neat or concrete)- Other (specify)**	
• Was this seal installed by? **—Dropping material down the hole and tamping** **—Dropping material down the inside of hollow stem auger** **—Other (specify)**	
d. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with a concrete cap to prevent infiltration from the surface?	Y
e. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protectivedevice and bumper guards?	Y
f. Has the protective cover been installed with locks to prevent tampering?	Y

	Y/N
H. Evaluation of the Facility's Detection Monitoring Program	
1. Placement of Downgradient Detection Monitoring Wells	
a. Are the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters located immediately adjacent to the waste management area?	Y
b. How far apart are the detection monitoring wells?	
c. Does the owner/operator provide a rationale for thelocation of each monitoring well or cluster?	Y
d. Does the owner/operator identified the well screenlengths of each monitoring well or clusters?	Y
e. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the well screen lengths of each monitoring well orcluster?	Y
f. Do the actual locations of monitoring wells orclusters correspond to those identified by the owner/operator?	Y
2. Placement of Upgradient Monitoring Wells	
a. Has the owner/operator documented the location ofeach upgradient monitoring well or cluster?	Y
b. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation forthe location(s) of the upgradient monitoring wells?	Y
c. What length screen has the owner/operator employed inthe background monitoring well(s)? 10 - 20'	
d. Does the owner/operator provide an explanation for the screen length(s) chosen?	Y
e. Does the actual location of each background monitoring well or cluster correspond to that identified by the owner/operator?	Y
I. Office Evaluation of the Facility's Assessment Monitoring Program	
1. Does the assessment plan specify: a. The number, location, and depth of wells?	Y
b. The rationale for their placement and identify the basis that will be used to select subsequent sampling locations and depths in later assessment phases?	Y
2. Does the list of monitoring parameters include all hazardous waste constituents from the facility?	Y

	Y/N
a. Does the water quality parameter list include other important indicators not classified as hazardous waste constituents?	Y
b. Does the owner/operator provide documentation for he listed wastes which are not included?	?
3. Does the owner/operator's assessment plan specify the procedures to be used to determine the rate of constituent migration in the ground-water?	Y
4. Has the owner/operator specified a schedule of implementation in the assessment plan?	Y
5. Have the assessment monitoring objectives been clearly defined in the assessment plan?	Y
a. Does the plan include analysis and/or re-evaluation to determine if significant contamination has occurredin any of the detection monitoring wells?	Y
b. Does the plan provide for a comprehensive program of investigation to fully characterize the rate and extent of contaminant migration from the facility?	Y
c. Does the plan call for determining the concentrations of hazardous wastes and hazardous waste constituentsin the ground water?	Y
d. Does the plan employ a quarterly monitoring program?	Y
6. Does the assessment plan identify the investigatory methods that will be used in the assessment phase?	Y
a. Is the role of each method in the evaluation fully described?	Y
b. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the direct methods to be used?	Y
c. Does the plan provide sufficient descriptions of the indirect methods to be used?	N/A
d. Will the method contribute to the further characterization of the contaminant movement?	?
7. Are the investigatory techniques utilized in the assessment program based on direct methods?	Y
a. Does the assessment approach incorporate indirect methods to further support direct methods?	N
b. Will the planned methods called for in the assessment approach ultimately meet performance standards for assessment monitoring?	Y
c. Are the procedures well defined?	Y
d. Does the approach provide for monitoring wells similar in design and construction as the detectionmonitoring wells?	Y

	Y/N
e. Does the approach employ taking samples during drilling or collecting core samples for further analysis?	Y
8. Are the indirect methods to be used based on reliable and accepted geophysical techniques?	N/A
a. Are they capable of detecting subsurface changesresulting from contaminant migration at the site?	–
b. Is the measurement at an appropriate level of sensitivity to detect ground-water quality changes at the site?	–
c. Is the method appropriate considering the nature of the subsurface materials?	–
d. Does the approach consider the limitations of these methods?	–
e. Will the extent of contamination and constituent concentration be based on direct methods and sound engineering judgment? (Using indirect methods tofurther substantiate the findings.)	–
9. Does the assessment approach incorporate any mathe-matical modeling to predict contaminant movement?	–
a. Will site specific measurements be utilized toaccurately portray the subsurface?	–
b. Will the derived data be reliable?	–
c. Have the assumptions been identified?	–
d. Have the physical and chemical properties of the site-specific wastes and hazardous waste constituentsbeen identified?	–
J. Conclusions 1. Subsurface geology a. Has sufficient data been collected to adequately define petrography and petrographic variation?	Y
b. Has the subsurface geochemistry been adequately defined?	?
c. Was the boring/coring program adequate to definesubsurface geologic variation?	?
d. Was the owner/operator's narrative description complete and accurate in its interpretation of the data?	Y
e. Does the geologic assessment address or provide means to resolve any information gaps?	Y
2. Ground-water flowpaths a. Did the owner/operator adequately establish the hori-zontal and vertical components of ground-water flow?	Y

	Y/N
b. Were appropriate methods used to establish ground-water flowpaths?	Y
c. Did the owner/operator provide accurate documentation?	Y
d. Are the potentiometric surface measurements valid?	Y
e. Did the owner/operator adequately consider the seasonal and temporal effects on the ground-water?	Y
f. Were sufficient hydraulic conductivity tests performed to document lateral and vertical variationin hydraulic conductivity in the entire hydrogeologic subsurface below the site?	?
3. Uppermost Aquifer a. Did the owner/operator adequately define the upper-most aquifer?	Y
4. Monitoring Well Construction and Design a. Do the design and construction of the owner/operator's ground-water monitoring wells permit depth discrete ground-water samples to be taken?	N
b. Are the samples representative of ground-water quality?	Y
c. Are the ground-water monitoring wells structurally stable?	Y
d. Does the ground-water monitoring well's design and construction permit an accurate assessment of aquifer characteristics?	Y
5. Detection Monitoring a. Downgradient Wells • Do the location, and screen lengths of the ground-water monitoring wells or clusters in the detection monitoring system allow the immediate detection of a release of hazardous waste or constituents from the hazardous waste management area to the uppermost aquifer?	Y
b. Upgradient Wells • Do the location and screen lengths of the upgradient (background) ground-water monitoring wells ensure the capability of collecting ground-water samples representative of upgradient (background) ground-water quality including any ambient heterogenous chemical characteristics?	[illegible]
6. Assessment Monitoring a. Has the owner/operator adequately characterized site hydrogeology to determine contaminant migration?	Y
b. Is the detection monitoring system adequately designed and constructed to immediately detect any contaminant release?	Y

	Y/N
c. Are the procedures used to make a first determinationof contamination adequate?	?
d. Is the assessment plan adequate to detect, characterize, and track contaminant migration?	Y
e. Will the assessment monitoring wells, given site hydrogeologic conditions, define the extent and concentration of contamination in the horizontal and vertical planes?	Y
f. Are the assessment monitoring wells adequately designed and constructed?	Y
g. Are the sampling and analysis procedures adequate to provide true measures of contamination?	Y
h. Do the procedures used for evaluation of assessment monitoring data result in determinations of the rate of migration, extent of migration, and hazardous constituent composition of the contaminant plume?	Y
i. Are the data collected at sufficient frequency and duration to adequately determine the rate of migration?	Y
j. Is the schedule of implementation adequate?	Y
k. Is the owner/operator's assessment monitoring plan adequate?	Y
• If the owner/operator had to implement hisassessment monitoring plan, was it implemented satisfactorily?	N/A
II. Field Evaluation **A. Ground-Water Monitoring System** 1. Are the numbers, depths, and locations of monitoring wells in agreement with those reported in the facility's monitoring plan? (See Section 3.2.3.)	Y
B. Monitoring Well Construction 1. Identify construction material material diameter a. Primary Casing 4" PVC b. Secondary or outside casing 8" STEEL	
2. Is the upper portion of the borehole sealed with conrete to prevent infiltration from the surface?	Y
3. Is the well fitted with an above-ground protective device?	Y
4. Is the protective cover fitted with locks to prevent tampering? If a facility utilizes more than a single well design, answer the above questions for each well design?	Y

	Y/N
III. Review of Sample Collection Procedures **A. Measurement of Well Depths /Elevation** 1. Are measurements of both depth to standing water and depth to the bottom of the well made?	Y
2. Are measurements taken to the 0.01 feet?	Y
3. What device is used?	Y
4. Is there a reference point established by a licensed surveyor?	Y
5. Is the measuring equipment properly cleaned betweenwll locations to prevent cross contamination?	Y
B. Detection of Immiscible Layers 1. Are procedures used which will detect light phase immiscible layers?	Y
2. Are procedures used which will detect heavy phase immiscible layers?	N
C. Sampling of Immiscible Layers 1. Are the immiscible layers sampled separately prior to well evacuation?	N/A
2. Do the procedures used minimize mixing with watersoluble phases?	N/A
D. Well Evacuation 1. Are low yielding wells evacuated to dryness?	Y
2. Are high yielding wells evacuated so that at least three casing volumes are removed?	Y
3. What device is used to evacuate the wells? SUBMERSIBLE PUMP	–
4. If any problems are encountered (e.g., equipmentmalfunction) are they noted in a field logbook?	Y

	Y/N
E. Sample Withdrawal 1. For low yielding wells, are samples for volatiles, pH, and oxidation/reduction potential drawn first after the well recovers?	Y
2. Are samples withdrawn with either flurocarbon/resins or stainless steel (316, 304 or 2205) sampling devices?	Y
3. Are sampling devices either bottom valve bailers or positive gas displacement bladder pumps?	Y
4. If bailers are used, is fluorocarbon/resin coated wire, single strand stainless steel wire, or monofilament used to raise and lower the bailer?	N
5. If bladder pumps are used, are they operated in acontinuous manner to prevent aeration of the sample?	Y
6. If bailers are used, are they lowered slowly to prevent degassing of the water?	Y
7. If bailers are used, are the contents transferred to the sample container in a way that minimizes agitation and aeration?	Y
8. Is care taken to avoid placing clean sampling equipment on the ground or other contaminated surfaces prior to insertion into the well?	Y
9. If dedicated sampling equipment is not used, is equipment disassembled and thoroughly cleaned between samples?	Y
10. If samples are for inorganic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps: a. Dilute acid rinse (HNO_3 or HC1)?11. If samples are for organic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps:	?
11. If samples are for inorganic analysis, does the cleaning procedure include the following sequential steps: a. Nonphosphate detergent wash?	Y
b. Tap water rinse?	Y
c. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	Y
d. Acetone rinse?	?
e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse?	?

	Y/N
12. Is sampling equipment thoroughly dry before use?	Y
13. Are equipment blanks taken to ensure that sample cross-contamination has not occurred?	Y
14. If volatile samples are taken with a positive gas displacement bladder pump, are pumping rates below 100 ml/min?	?
F. In-situ or Field Analyses 1. Are the following labile (chemically unstable) parameters determined in the field: a. pH?	Y
b. Temperature?	Y
c. Specific conductivity?	Y
d. Redox potential?	N/A
e. Chlorine?	N/A
f. Dissolved oxygen?	N/A
g. Turbidity?	N
h. Other (specify) ________	—
2. For in-situ determinations, are they made after well evacuation and sample removal?	N/A
3. If sample is withdrawn from the well, is parameter measured from a split portion?	Y
4. Is monitoring equipment calibrated according to mannufacturers' specifications and consistent with SW-846?	?
5. Is the date, procedure, and maintenance for equipment calibration documented in the field logbook?	Y
IV. Review of Sample Preservation and Handling Procedures **A. Sample Containers** 1. Are samples transferred from the sampling device directly to their compatible containers?	Y

	Y/N
2. Are sample containers for metals (inorganics) analyses polyethylene with polypropylene caps?	Y
3. Are sample containers for organics analysis glass bottles with fluorocarbonresin-lined caps?	Y
4. If glass bottles are used for metals samples are the caps fluorocarbonresin-lined?	N/A
5. Are the sample containers for metal analyses cleanedusing these sequential steps: a. Nonphosphate detergent wash?	?
b. 1:1 nitric acid rinse?	?
c. Tap water rinse?	?
d. 1:1 hydrochloric acid rinse?	?
e. Tap water rinse?	?
f. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	?
6. Are the sample containers for organic analyses cleaned using these sequential steps: a. Nonphosphate detergent/hot water wash?	?
b. Tap water rinse?	?
c. Distilled/deionized water rinse?	?
d. Acetone rinse?	?
e. Pesticide-grade hexane rinse?	?
7. Are trip blanks used for each sample container type to verify cleanliness?	?
B. Sample Preservation Procedures 1. Are samples for the following analyses cooled to 4°C: a. TOC?	Y
b. TOX?	Y
c. Chloride?	Y
d. Phenols?	Y
e. Sulfate?	Y
f. Nitrate?	Y
g. Coliform bacteria?	Y
h. Cyanide?	Y
i. Oil and grease?	Y
j. Hazardous constituents ()261, Appendix VIII)?	Y

	Y/N
2. Are samples for the following analyses field acidified to pH <2 with HNO_3: a. Iron?	Y
b. Manganese?	Y
c. Sodium?	Y
d. Total metals?	Y
e. Dissolved metals?	Y
f. Fluoride?	Y
g. Endrin?	N/A
h. Lindane?	N/A
i. Methoxychlor?	N/A
j. Toxaphene?	N/A
k. 2,4, D?	N/A
l. 2,4,5 TP Silvex?	N/A
m. Radium?	N/A
n. Gross alpha?	N/A
o. Gross beta?	N/A
3. Are samples for the following analyses field acidfied to pH <2 with H_2SO_4: a. Phenols?	Y
b. Oil and grease?	N/A
4. Is the sample for TOC analyses field acified to pH <2 with HCl?	?
5. Is the sample for TOX analysis preserved with 1 ml of 1.1 M sodium sulfite?	N
6. Is the sample for cyanide analysis preserved with NaOH to pH >12?	?
C. Special Handling Considerations 1. Are organic samples handled without filtering?	Y
2. Are samples for volatile organics transfered to the appropriate vials to eliminate headspace over the sample?	Y
3. Are samples for metal analysis split into two portions?	Y
4. Is the sample for dissolved metals filtered through a 0.45 micron filter?	Y
5. Is the second portion not filtered and analyzed for total metals?	Y
6. Is one equipment blank prepared each day of ground-water sampling?	Y

	Y/N
V. Review of Chain-of-Custody Procedures	
A. Sample Labels 1. Are sample labels used?	Y
2. Do they provide the following information: a. Sample identification number?	Y
b. Name of collector?	Y
c. Date and time of collection?	Y
d. Place of collection?	Y
e. Parameter(s) requested and preservitives used?	Y
3. Do they remain legible even if wet?	Y
B. Sample Seals 1. Are sample seals placed on those containers to ensure samples are not altered?	Y
C. Field Logbook 1. Is a field logbook maintained?	Y
2. Does it document the following: a. Purpose of sampling (e.g., detection or assesment)?	Y
b. Location of well(s)?	Y
c. Total depth of each well?	Y
d. Static water level depth and measurement technique?	Y
e. Presence of immiscible layers and detection method?	Y
f. Collection method for immiscible layers and sample identification numbers?	Y
g. Well evacuation procedures?	Y
h. Sample withdrawal procedure?	Y
i. Date and time of collection?	Y
j. Well sampling sequence?	Y
k. Types of sample containers and sample identification number(s)?	Y
l. Preservative(s) used?	Y
m. Parameters requested?	Y
n. Field analysis data and method(s)?	Y
o. Sample distribution and transporter?	Y
p. Field observations?	Y

	Y/N
—Unusual well recharge rates?	Y
—Equipment malfunction(s)?	Y
—Possible sample contamination?	Y
—Sampling rate?	Y
D. Chain-of-Custody Record 1. Is a chain-of-custody record included with each sample?	Y
2. Does it document the following: a. Sample number?	Y
b. Signiture of collector?	Y
c. Date and time of collection?	Y
d. Sample type?	Y
e. Station location?	Y
f. Number of containers?	Y
g. Parameters requested?	Y
h. Signatures of persons involved in chain-of-custody?	Y
i. Inclusive dates of custody?	Y
E. Sample Analysis Request Sheet 1. Does a sample analysis request sheet accompany each sample?	?
2. Does the request sheet document the following: a. Name of person receiving the sample?	?
b. Date of sample receipt?	?
c. Duplicates?	?
d. Analysis to be performed?	?
IV. Review of Quality Assurance/Quality Control **A. Is the validity and reliability of the laboratory and field generated data ensured by a QA/QC program?**	Y
B. Does the QA/QC program include: 1. Documentation of any deviation from approved procedures?	Y

	Y/N
2. Documentation of analytical results for: a. Blanks?	Y
b. Standards?	Y
c. Duplicates?	Y
d. Spiked samples?	Y
e. Detectable limits for each parameter being analyzed?	Y
C. Are approved statistical methods used?	Y
D. Are QC samples used to correct data?	?
E. Are all data critically examined to ensure it has been properly calculated and reported?	Y
VII. Surficial Well Inspection and Field Observation **A. Are the wells adequately maintained?**	Y
B. Are the monitoring wells protected and secure?	Y
C. Do the wells have surveyed casing elevations?	Y
D. Are the ground-water samples turbid?	Y
E. Have all physical characteristics of the site been noted in the inspector's field notes (i.e., surface waters, topography, surface features)?	Y
F. Has a site sketch been prepared by the field inspector with scale, north arrow, location(s) of buildings, location(s) of regulated units, locations of monitoring wells, and a rough depiction of the site drainage pattern?	Y

	Y/N
VIII. Conclusions A. Is the facilitycurrently operating under the correct monitoring progaram according to the statistical analyses performed by the current operator?	Y
B. Does the ground-water monitoring system, as designed and operated, allow for detection or assessment of any possible ground-water contamination caused by the facility?	Y
C. Does the sampling and analysis procedures permit the owner/operator to detect and, where possible, assess the nature and extent of a release of hazardous constituents to ground water from the monitored hazardous waste management facility?	Y

Figure 4.3
Relationship of Technical Inadequacies to Ground-Water Performance Standards

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
1. Uppermost Aquifer must be correctly identified.	• failure to consider aquifers hydraulically interconnected to the uppermost aquifer.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• incorrect identification of certain formations as confining layers or aquitards.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• failure to use test drilling and/or soil borings to characterize subsurface hydrogeology.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
2. Ground-water flow directions and rates must be properly determined.	• failure to use piezometers or wells to determine ground-water flow rates and directions (or failure to use a sufficient number of them).	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• failure to consider temporal variations in water levels when establishing flow directions (e.g., seasonal variations, short-term fluctuations due to pumping).	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• failure to assess significance of vertical gradients when evaluating flow rates and directions.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• failure to use standard/consistent benchmarks when establishing water level elevations.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2) §270.14(c)(2)
	• failure of the owner/operator (o/o) to consider the effect of local withdrawal wells on ground-water flow direction.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure of the o/o to obtain sufficient water level measurements.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
3. Background wells must be located so as to yield samples that are not affected by the facility.	• failure of the o/o to consider the effect of local withdrawal wells on ground-water flow direction.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure of the o/o to obtain sufficient water level measurements.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure of the o/o to consider flow path of dense immiscibles in establishing upgradient well locations.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure of the o/o to consider seasonal fluctuations in ground-water flow direction.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure to install wells hydraulically upgradient, except in cases where upgradient water quality is affected by the facility (e.g., migration of dense immiscibles in the upgradient direction, mounding water beneath the facility).	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• failure of the o/o to adequately characterize subsurface hydrogeology.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
	• wells intersect only ground water that flows around facility.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1)
4. Background wells must be constructed so as to yield samples that are representative of in-situ ground-water quality.	• wells constructed of materials that may release or absorb constituents of concern	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)
	• wells improperly sealed—contamination of sample is a concern.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a), (c)
	• nested or multiple screen wells are used and it cannot be demonstrated that there has been no movement of ground water between strata.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2)

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
4. Background wells must be constructed so as to yield samples that are representative of in-situ ground-water quality. (Continued)	• improper drilling methods were used, possibly contaminating the formation.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)
	• well intake packed with materials that may contaminate sample.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a), (c)
	• well screens used are of an inappropriate length.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(1, 2)
	• wells developed using water other than formation water.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)
	• improper well development yielding samples with suspended sediments that may bias chemical analysis.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)
	• use of drilling muds or nonformation water during well construction that can bias results of samples collected from wells.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)
5. Downgradient monitoring wells must be located so as to ensure the immediate detection of any contamination migrating from the facility.	• wells not placed immediately adjacent to waste management area.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)
	• failure of o/o to consider potential pathways for dense immiscibles.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)
	• inadequate vertical distribution of wells in thick or heavily stratified aquifer.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)
	• inadequate horizontal distribution of wells in aquifers of varying hydraulic conductivity.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)
	• likely pathways of contamination (e.g., buried streams channels, fractures, areas of high permeability) are not intersected by wells.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)
	• well network covers uppermost but not interconnected aquifers.	§265.90(a) §265.91(a)(2)

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
6. Downgradient monitoring wells must be constructed so as to yield samples that are representative of in-situ ground-water quality.	See No. 4 above.	
7. Samples from background and downgradient wells must be properly collected and analyzed.	• failure to evacuate stagnant water from the well before sampling.	§265.90(a), §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §2705.14(c)(4)
	• failure to sample wells within a reasonable amount of time after well evacuation.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• improper decisions regarding filtering or non-filtering of samples prior to analysis (e.g., use of filtration on samples to be analyzed for volatile organics).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• use of an inappropriate sampling device.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• use of improper sample preservation techniques.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
7. Samples from background and downgradient wells must be properly collected and analyzed. (Continued)	• samples collected with a device that is constructed of materials that interfere with sample integrity.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• samples collected with a non-dedicated sampling device that is not cleaned between sampling events.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• improper use of a sampling device such that sample quality is affected (e.g., degassing of sample caused by agitation of bailer).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• improper handling of samples (e.g., failure to eliminate headspace from containers of samples to be analyzed for volatiles).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure of the sampling plan to establish procedures for sampling immiscibles (i.e., "floaters" and "sinkers").	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure to follow appropriate QA/QC procedures.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure to ensure sample integrity through the use of proper chain-of-custody procedures.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure to demonstrate suitability of methods used for sample analysis (other than those specified in SW-846).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure to perform analysis in the field on unstable parameters or constituents (e.g., pH, Eh, specific conductance, alkalinity, dissolved oxygen).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)

Examples of Basic Elements Required by Performance Standards	Examples of Technical Inadequacies that may Constitute Violations	Regulatory Citations
7. Samples from background and downgradient wells must be properly collected and analyzed. (Continued)	• use of sample containers that may interfere with sample quality (e.g., synthetic containers used with volatile samples).	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)
	• failure to make proper use of sample blanks.	§265.90(a) §265.92(a) §265.93(d)(4) §270.14(c)(4)

Appendix B (Ground Water Monitoring Program Report

Woodward-Clyde

Engineering & sciences applied to the earth & its environment

March 23, 1998
87C2839A-8

Mr. Thomas P. Cunningham, Hydrogeologist
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, BWQM
Lee Park Suite 6010
555 North Lane
Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428

Re: Revised Groundwater Monitoring Program,
Former Philadelphia Coke Company Site

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

On behalf of Philadelphia Coke Company, Woodward-Clyde International-Americas (Woodward-Clyde) requests the Department's approval of a revised groundwater monitoring program for the former Philadelphia Coke Company site. Data collected since the first quarter of 1985 suggest that annual monitoring of the six RCRA wells should sufficiently define future trends in groundwater constituent concentrations.

The attached text and figures summarize results of groundwater monitoring data collected in the six RCRA monitoring wells from the first quarter of 1985 through the fourth quarter of 1997. Overall, there is no indication that the groundwater constituents measured are migrating toward the downgradient wells. With very few exceptions, concentrations of all parameters remain stable or are decreasing with time and are currently below the Pennsylvania Act 2 Non-use Aquifer standards. We request the Department's concurrence with this report and request further that annual monitoring during the second quarter of each year be accepted for all future evaluations of groundwater constituents at the site.

Please contact us with any questions or comments you may have. We look forward to the Department's favorable response.

Very truly yours,

James V. Husted, P.E.
Project Manager

cc: Michael J. Cawley, Eastern Enterprises

Woodward-Clyde International-Americas (Formerly Woodward-Clyde Consultants)
1400 Union Meeting Road • Suite 202 • Blue Bell, Pennsylvania 19422-1972
215-542-3800 • Fax: 215-542-3888

J:\87C2839A 8\ANNMON DOC 03-17-98

Introduction

Groundwater quality has been monitored at the former Philadelphia Coke Company site since the first quarter of 1985. During the fourth quarter of 1988, a significant remedial action was completed that included removal of approximately 30,000 tons of contaminated soils from former RCRA Waste Management Units. Post-Closure quarterly groundwater monitoring has continued through the fourth quarter of 1997. A modified groundwater monitoring program, which incorporated some annual parameter evaluations, was approved by the Department in September 1993.

The six RCRA monitoring wells have been in service at locations coordinated with the Department since development of the site groundwater monitoring program in 1985 and are classified into two functional groups, 1) downgradient/background wells and 2) production area wells. All six wells have been sampled historically for three groups of constituents; RCRA groundwater quality parameters, RCRA groundwater contamination indicator parameters, and site-related, potentially mobile parameters. The groundwater monitoring database is included in Appendix A.

The monitoring wells and other site features are shown on Figure 1. Monitoring Wells MW-1R and MW-3 are located downgradient (to the east, toward the Delaware River) from the former site production area where most of the former coal gas and tar processing operations were performed. Well MW-4R serves as a background well and is located upgradient of the Upper Delaware Low Level Collector (UDLLC) sewer, which traverses the western boundary of the site.

As depicted on Figure 2, the collector sewer apparently functions as a localized groundwater sink and induces flow from the former production area toward the west and thus causes a groundwater divide on the site. Groundwater within the production area is monitored by Wells MW-2R, MW-5, and MW-6. Well MW-2R is located in what was, prior to the 1988 remedial action, the most highly contaminated area on the site

RCRA Groundwater Indicator Parameters

Figures 1 through 10 present pH, total organic carbon (TOC), total organic halogens (TOX), and specific conductance results in background/downgradient wells and production area wells. The values are shown to be relatively stable and are generally decreasing with time. Some fluctuations are observed in TOC (Figure 5) and specific conductance (Figure 9) parameters. However, this variation is observed as much in the background well (MW-4R), which is hydraulically isolated from the former production area of the site by the UDLLC sewer, as it is in one of the downgradient wells (MW-1R). The cause of this variation is not known, but it is not believed to be related to former Philadelphia Coke Company operations because the site-related and potentially mobile constituents in these same wells do not exhibit similar fluctuations.

RCRA Groundwater Quality Parameters

Figures 11 through 16 show chloride, phenol, and sulfate concentration trends in background/downgradient wells and production area wells. Where possible, the concentrations were compared to the Pennsylvania Act 2 Non-use Aquifer standards (Pennsylvania Bulletin, August 16, 1997). These standards are considered representative for evaluation of the Philadelphia Coke Company site because the aquifer that is monitored consists of a shallow, perched saturated zone situated in historic fill material and is not amenable to industrial or residential use as a water supply.

Chloride is included as a guideline parameter by the USEPA under the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulation. Only six of the historic thirty-seven samples from Well MW-1,1R are above the chloride secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL). However, the last sample that exceeded the standard was collected in the second quarter of 1992, and since that time, chloride concentrations have trended downward in all monitoring wells. Phenol concentrations are far below Act 2 criteria and also have been consistently near or below detectable levels. Sulfate concentrations have recently trended downward after an increase following the 1988 remedial action.

Site-related Potentially Mobile Parameters

Figures 17 through 28 present the historic concentration trends of the site-related potentially mobile parameters since 1985. These constituents include naphthalene, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, ammonia nitrogen, trichloroethene (TCE), and tetrachloroethene (PCE). All of the parameters except ammonia nitrogen are compared to the PA Act 2 Non-use Aquifer standards. Ammonia nitrogen is not currently regulated under Act 2. Naphthalene, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and ammonia nitrogen are generally detected at consistently low levels. The concentrations are stable and well below the Act 2 standards.

TCE and PCE are only detected in well MW-5. These constituents are believed the result of a localized source associated with the former machine shop which was removed during building demolition activities in 1993. With the exception of one data point, PCE concentrations are relatively stable, and seventy-eight percent of the data are below the Act 2 Non-use Aquifer standard. The highest PCE concentration measured was 0.52 mg/l during the first quarter of 1994.

TCE concentrations fluctuate slightly; however, eighty-three percent of the data are below the Act 2 Non-use Aquifer standard. The highest TCE concentration measured was 0.12 mg/l during the third quarter of 1996. It should be noted that groundwater in the area of Well MW-5 is apparently controlled by the adjacent UDLLC (within approximately 60 feet).

Conclusions

Constituent trends are well defined, particularly for the site-specific and potentially mobile constituents, as indicated by the data generated from twelve years of quarterly monitoring. These trends indicate generally stable or decreasing parameter concentrations. The data indicate that constituent concentrations are in compliance with current Pennsylvania Act 2 groundwater quality criteria for non-use aquifers, with only periodic exceedances for TCE and PCE in one well (Well MW-5). Groundwater flow in the area of Well MW-5 is apparently controlled by the UDLLC sewer. Since the third quarter of 1992, chloride concentrations in all wells have been within Safe Drinking Water Act SMCLs.

The historic trends of monitored constituents in the downgradient wells suggests that the groundwater regime was temporarily disrupted by the remedial action. This disruption was manifested by a downgradient pulse of elevated parameters; primarily TOC, specific conductance, and sulfate. However, no significant pulse of the site-related potentially mobile constituents was observed. Background data indicate some recent variability in TOC, sulfate, and specific conductance levels which suggests that unknown influences outside the remediated former production area may contribute to the variability of these results. The downward trends in site-specific, potentially mobile constituents appear to indicate that groundwater quality continues to improve toward levels consistent with potential aquifer use in an industrial area.

Accordingly, Philadelphia Coke Company believes that annual sampling will adequately monitor these constituent trends for the remainder of the RCRA Post-Closure Care period. Should a significant upward trend occur in any of the site-specific and potentially mobile constituents, as defined by two consecutive years of increasing concentrations, Philadelphia Coke will collect and analyze samples from the impacted well(s) twice yearly until two consecutive analyses indicate a stable or downward trend. The sampling frequency will then revert to once yearly for the duration of the Post-Closure Care period or until another variance from the decreasing/stable trend is observed.

As in the past, groundwater reports will continue to be submitted to the Department for each sampling event.

RCRA GROUNDWATER
INDICATOR PARAMETERS

pH in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 3

pH in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Note: MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 4

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 5

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as not detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 6

Total Organic Halogens (TOX) in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 7



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 8

Specific Conductance in Background/Downgradient Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 9

Specific Conductance in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 10

RCRA GROUNDWATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

Chloride in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 11



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 12

Phenol in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 13



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 14



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 15

Sulfate in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 16

SITE-RELATED
POTENTIALLY MOBILE PARAMETERS

Naphthalene in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 17

Naphthalene in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company

* All samples are below the PA Act 2 Non-use Aquifer Standard of 20,000 ug/L.



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 18



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 19

Benzene in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW 2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2

FIGURE 20

Toluene in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 21



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 22

Ethylbenzene in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 23



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 24

Ammonia Nitrogen in Downgradient/Background Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-1R was installed on 4/5/91 to replace MW-1.
MW-4R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-4.

FIGURE 25

Ammonia Nitrogen in Production Area Wells
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.
MW-2R was installed on 3/10/89 to replace MW-2.

FIGURE 26

TCE in Production Area Well MW-5
Philadelphia Coke Company



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.

FIGURE 27



Notes: Values plotted at zero were reported as non-detected.

FIGURE 28

Appendix A

GROUNDWATER QUALITY DATA SUMMARY

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	4/10/85	6/26/85	10/15/85	1/23/86	4/24/86	7/29/86	10/10/86	1/8/87	4/16/87	7/17/87
ALKALINITY, TOTAL	mg/l	73.10	251.00	62.90	30.20	49 80	98 00	61 40	36 30	24 70	11 00
AMMONIA NITROGEN	mg/l	56.00	333.00	42 00	105 00	135 00	164 00	107 00	73 60	85 10	107 00
TOTAL COLIFORM	cfu/100 m	100.00	13.00	<2	<2		2 00	<2	<1	<2	<1
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l	6.60		5 60	0.90	2 20	42 00	2 50	2 40	1 80	0 30
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	mg/l	8.70	5.97	6.92	2.73	3 63	2 80	4 80	2 30	3 70	3 00
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l	48.00	573.00	14.50	50 40	18 50	66 00	67 10	<10	28 80	11 00
CHLORIDE	mg/l	27.80	416.00	13.20	12 00	11 60			<10		
CYANIDE, TOTAL	mg/l	1.50	38.00	0.09	0 05	0 01	10 30	<0 005	0 18	<0 005	<0 005
FLUORIDE	mg/l	0 91	1.00	0.75	0 69	0 80	1 12	2 60	0 69	0 56	1 46
ALUMINUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.5	<0.5								
ARSENIC, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.001	<0.001								
BARIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.5	0.50								
CADMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l										
CHROMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	0.0050	<0.004	0.0010	<0 001	<0 001	<0 001	0 0020	<0 001	<0 001	0 0020
IRON, TOTAL	mg/l	16.40	49.00	4 50	<0 1	<0 10	1 40	6 40	3 93	0 1600	0 1500
LEAD, TOTAL	mg/l	0.0030	<0.001								
MANGANESE, TOTAL	mg/l	9.40	12.00	6.12	6.21	6 00	8 90	8 50	4 60	5 20	6 00
MERCURY, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.0002	<0.005								
SELENIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	0.0050	0.0050								
SILVER, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.001	<0.001								
SODIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	29.40	144.00	13 00	12.20	13 30	31 00	19 00	<0 5	9 10	10 40
NITRATE NITROGEN	mg/l	2.20	<0.005	0 97	1 20	0 44	1 04	8 30	0.26	2 20	8 35
TOTAL ORGANIC HALOGENS	ug/l	215.00	19.00	<5	<5	93 00	7 00	18 00	46 00	<5	<10
PHENOLICS	mg/l	<0.005	0.01	<0.005	<0 005	<0 005	<0.005	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005
pH	standard	6.64	6.40	6.45	6.71	6 90	6 55	6 28	6 22	5 69	5.14
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	mg/l	<1120	2830.00	1400.00	1400.00	1210.00	1070 00	1260 00	1010 00	1120.00	1190 00
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	umhos/c	32.50	4094.00	1620 00	1670 00	2020.00	2690 00	2120 00	1440.00	1470.00	1650 00
SULFATE	mg/l	4.20	1675.00	990.00	1020.00	1040.00	136 00	1080 00	<10	657.00	977 00
HERBICIDES:											
2,4-D	ug/l	<0.25	<0.25								
2,4,5-TP	ug/l	<0.25	<10								
PESTICIDES:											
ENDRIN	ug/l	<0.50	<0.022								
LINDANE	ug/l	<0.50	<0.003								
METHOXYCHLOR	ug/l	<2.5	<0.049								
TOXAPHENE	ug/l	<25	<0.098								
ACID EXTRACTABLES:											
PHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<20	<20	<20	<20	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
4-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<40	<40	<40	<40	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
2-METHYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<20	<20	<20	<20	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
BASE/NEUTRAL EXTRACTABLES:											
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10					
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYLAMINE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NITROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ISOPHORONE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXCHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHYLENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHENE	ug/l	<5	84.00	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
FLUORENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	4/10/85	6/26/85	10/15/85	1/23/86	4/24/86	7/29/86	10/10/86	1/8/87	4/16/87	7/17/87
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
PHENANTHRENE	ug/l	<5	13.00	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<5	<5	10.30	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<5	<5	21 00	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZIDINE	ug/l	<100	<100	<100	<100	<20					
PYRENE	ug/l	<5	9.50	11 00	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10	14.00	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
CHRYSENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	ug/l	<5	6.70	<5	<5	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(A)PYRENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIO	ug/l	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10
VOLATILE ORGANICS:											
CHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<10	<10	<2 0	<2 0
BROMOMETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<10	<10	<2 0	<2 0
VINYL CHLORIDE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<10	<10	<2 0	<2 0
CHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<10	<10	<2 0	<2 0
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	ug/l	<1 0	<1.0	9 20	<1 0	4 40	<5	<5	<5	35 00	<1 0
ACROLEIN	ug/l	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<80				
ACRYLONITRILE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<80				
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	ug/l							<5	<5		
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1 0
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1 0
CHLOROFORM	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	2 60
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1 0
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1 0
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1 0
TRICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0 2	<0 2	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1.0
BENZENE	ug/l	<1.0	1.30	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	2.10
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1 0
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1.0
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5 0	<5 0	<5	<10	<10	<2.0	<2.0
BROMOFORM	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1.0
TETRACHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1 0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1.0
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5 0	<5	<5	<5	<1 0	<1.0
TOLUENE	ug/l	<0.2	0.20	<0.2	7.70	<0 2	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1.0
CHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1.0
ETHYLBENZENE	ug/l	<1.0	<1.0	<1 0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<5	<5	<1.0	<1.0

NOTES:

(1) 1,3 CIS-DICHLOROPROPENE AND 1,3 TRANS-DICHLOROPROPENE COULD NOT BE RESOLVED. VALUES REPORTED INDICATE THE SUM OF BOTH ISOMERS COMPOUNDS FOR PERIOD 4/10/85 THROUGH 4/24/86.

(2) BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE AND CHRYSENE COULD NOT BE RESOLVED. VALUES REPORTED INDICATE THE SUM OF BOTH COMPOUNDS. 10/15/85.

(3) ONLY SAMPLED FOR FECAL COLIFORM.

(4) THE VALUE REPORTED IS THE RESULT OF QUADRUPLICATE SAMPLES.

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	10/28/87	2/11/88	3/8/88	5/19/88	1/18/89	4/18/89	8/1/89	10/30/89	1/11/90	4/5/90
ALKALINITY, TOTAL	mg/l	19.60	<10 0		67 20	5 00	25 00	37 00	53 00	73 00	50 00
AMMONIA NITROGEN	mg/l	122.00	72.60		151 00	20 70	11 40	4 00	1 10	3 50	1 30
TOTAL COLIFORM	cfu/100 m	18.00	50 00		<10	(3) <10	<2 2	<2 2	>16	<2 2	<2 2
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l	0.40	0.80		0 80	<6	<12	<6	<6	<6	<6
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	mg/l	2.20	2.10		0 90	3 30	3 10	3 90	3 80	2 60	3 50
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l	22 60	<10 0		26 00	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
CHLORIDE	mg/l	<10 0	10 80		57 90	32 00	21 00	7 00	7 00	11 00	46 00
CYANIDE, TOTAL	mg/l	<0 005	<0 005		<0 009	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005	0 02	0 01	<0 005
FLUORIDE	mg/l	1.52	1 00		0 92	0 90	0 50	0 70	0 50	0 50	0 40
ALUMINUM, TOTAL	mg/l										
ARSENIC, TOTAL	mg/l					<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01
BARIUM, TOTAL	mg/l					<0 1	<0 1	<0 1	<0 1	<0 1	<0 1
CADMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l					0 02	0 01	0 01		0 01	<0 005
CHROMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	0.0050	0 0390		<0 002	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05
IRON, TOTAL	mg/l	0.5000	0 8000		0 7000						
LEAD, TOTAL	mg/l					0 06	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05	<0 05
MANGANESE, TOTAL	mg/l	8.60			3 90						
MERCURY, TOTAL	mg/l					<0 0005	<0 0005	<0 0005	<0 0005	<0 0005	<0 0005
SELENIUM, TOTAL	mg/l					<0 005	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005	<0 005
SILVER, TOTAL	mg/l					<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01	<0 01
SODIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	13 20	12.50		71 00			18 40	15 00	17 00	
NITRATE NITROGEN	mg/l	3 35	11 50		4 80	21 20	6 50	1 30	<0 5	0 80	4 00
TOTAL ORGANIC HALOGENS	ug/l	<5.0	248.00		141 00	6 00	8 00	7 00	<5	9 00	8 00
PHENOLICS	mg/l	<0.005	<0.005		<0 005						
pH	standard	5.24	5 55		6 28	4 87	6 19	5 93	6.19	6.71	6 30
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	mg/l	1080.00	848 00		1380 00	1630 00	1210 00	780 00	590 00	730 00	890 00
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	umhos/c	2030.00	1240.00		1950 00	1970 00	1460 00	954 00	805 00	1010 00	1220 00
SULFATE	mg/l	1160 00	647 00		970 00	1020 00	749 00	442 00	380.00	442 00	522.00
HERBICIDES:											
2,4-D	ug/l										
2,4,5-TP	ug/l										
PESTICIDES:											
ENDRIN	ug/l										
LINDANE	ug/l										
METHOXYCHLOR	ug/l										
TOXAPHENE	ug/l										
ACID EXTRACTABLES:											
PHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<50		<50	<50	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
4-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<50		<50	<50	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
2-METHYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<50		<50	<50	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<50		<50	<50	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
BASE/NEUTRAL EXTRACTABLES:											
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYLAMINE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NITROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ISOPHORONE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXCHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHYLENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
FLUORENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	10/28/87	2/11/88	3/8/88	5/19/88	1/18/89	4/18/89	8/1/89	10/30/89	1/11/90	4/5/90
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
PHENANTHRENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	12 00	<10	<10
BENZIDINE	ug/l	<20		<20	<20	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
PYRENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
CHRYSENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	ug/l	<20		<20	<20	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(A)PYRENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE	ug/l	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIO	ug/l	<10		<10	<10						
VOLATILE ORGANICS:											
CHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<2	<2		<2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BROMOMETHANE	ug/l	<2	<2		<2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
VINYL CHLORIDE	ug/l	<2	<2		<2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
CHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<2	<2		<2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
ACROLEIN	ug/l					<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
ACRYLONITRILE	ug/l					<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	ug/l				<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	7 00
CHLOROFORM	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	6.00
BENZENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER	ug/l	<2	<2		<2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BROMOFORM	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TOLUENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	ug/l	<1	<1		<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

NOTES:

(1) 1,3 CIS-DICHLOROPROPENE AND 1,3 TRANS-D
COMPOUNDS FOR PERIOD 4/10/85 THROUGH 4/24/

(2) BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE AND CHRYSENE COULD

(3) ONLY SAMPLED FOR FECAL COLIFORM.

(4) THE VALUE REPORTED IS THE RESULT OF QU

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	7/10/90	10/11/90	1/8/91	2/20/91	5/2/91	7/18/91	10/25/91	1/16/92	4/16/92	8/13/92
ALKALINITY, TOTAL	mg/l	47.00	85 00	95 00		1030 00	982 00	1350 00	680 00	431 00	1130 00
AMMONIA NITROGEN	mg/l	1.80	1 80	4.70		12.60	5 40	5 60	83 80	110 00	76 00
TOTAL COLIFORM	cfu/100 m	2.20	<2 2	>16		>16	>16	<2 2	<2 2	<2 2	<2 2
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l		<12	<12		22 00	23 00	13 00	64 00	84 00	34 00
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	mg/l	5.40	5 20	28 00	(4) 210	170 00	150 00	84 00	110.00	80 00	87 00
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	mg/l	90.00	330 00	330 00		570 00	830 00	320 00	530 00	410 00	360 00
CHLORIDE	mg/l	21.00	25.00	340 00		494 00	262 00	110 00	283 00	330 00	200 00
CYANIDE, TOTAL	mg/l	0.04	0.05	0 05		0 30	1 55	0 14	1 35	0 565	0 361
FLUORIDE	mg/l	0.50	0 50	0.40		0 50	0 50	0 60	0 50	1 20	0 60
ALUMINUM, TOTAL	mg/l										
ARSENIC, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.01	<0.01	<0 01			0 02	<0 01	<0.01	<0 01	
BARIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.1	<0 2	<0 2			0 20	0 20	0 10	<0 2	
CADMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.005	<0 005	0 01			0.01	0 02	<0 005	<0 005	
CHROMIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.05	<0 05	<0.05			0 1400	0 0600	<0 05	<0 05	
IRON, TOTAL	mg/l										
LEAD, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.05	<0.05	<0 05			0 20	0 06	<0 05	<0 05	
MANGANESE, TOTAL	mg/l										
MERCURY, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.0005	<0 0005	<0.0005			0 0034	0.0016	<0 0005	0 0004	
SELENIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.005	<0 005	0 01			0 02	0 01	<0 005	<0 005	
SILVER, TOTAL	mg/l	<0.01	<0.01	<0 01			<0.01	<0.01	<0 01	<0 01	
SODIUM, TOTAL	mg/l	29.80	35.60	190 00			297 00	147 00	232.00	230 00	
NITRATE NITROGEN	mg/l	<0.5	<0.5	13 50		<0 5	<0 5	<0 5	<0 5	<0 5	<0 5
TOTAL ORGANIC HALOGENS	ug/l	<10	18.00	100 00	(4) 240	160 00	100 00	80 00	80 00	60 00	240 00
PHENOLICS	mg/l										
pH	standard	6.26	6.58	6.29	(4) 6.39	6 87	6.98	6 98	6.54	6 55	6 81
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	mg/l	820.00	880.00	7300.00		13600.00	10800.00	7000 00	8400 00	8900.00	8340 00
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE	umhos/c	1050.00	1130.00	6930 00	(4) 15400	12600.00	9790.00	6560 00	8430.00	8420 00	7890 00
SULFATE	mg/l	446.00	506.00	4760 00		7900 00	6570 00	4200.00	5550 00	5700.00	5600 00
HERBICIDES:											
2,4-D	ug/l										
2,4,5-TP	ug/l										
PESTICIDES:											
ENDRIN	ug/l										
LINDANE	ug/l										
METHOXYCHLOR	ug/l										
TOXAPHENE	ug/l										
ACID EXTRACTABLES:											
PHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
4-NITROPHENOL	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
2-METHYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
BASE/NEUTRAL EXTRACTABLES:											
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL)ETHER	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL)ETHER	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODI-N-PROPYLAMINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NITROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ISOPHORONE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
NAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<10	10.74	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXCHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHYLENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	15	<10	<10	<10
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ACENAPHTHENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
FLUORENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

PHILADELPHIA COKE COMPANY
GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATABASE

MONITORING WELL W-1
MONITORING WELL W-1R (REPLACING W-1 AS OF 4-5-91)

PARAMETER	UNITS	7/10/90	10/11/90	1/8/91	2/20/91	5/2/91	7/18/91	10/25/91	1/16/92	4/16/92	8/13/92
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
PHENANTHRENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	16 00	<10
FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10	21 00	17 00		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZIDINE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<25	<25	<100	<100	<100
PYRENE	ug/l	<10	15.00	15.00		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
CHRYSENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	ug/l	<25	<25	<25		<25	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		13 00	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE	ug/l	18.00	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10	13.00	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(A)PYRENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
INDENO(1,2,3-C,D)PYRENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BENZO(G,H,I)PERYLENE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-P-DIO	ug/l										
VOLATILE ORGANICS:											
CHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<50	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BROMOMETHANE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<50	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
VINYL CHLORIDE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<50	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
CHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<50	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
ACROLEIN	ug/l	<100	<100	<100		<500	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
ACRYLONITRILE	ug/l	<100	<100	<100		<500	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CHLOROFORM	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
BENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYL ETHER	ug/l	<10	<10	<10		<50	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
BROMOFORM	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
TOLUENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	ug/l	<5	<5	<5		<25	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

NOTES:

(1) 1,3 CIS-DICHLOROPROPENE AND 1,3 TRANS-D
COMPOUNDS FOR PERIOD 4/10/85 THROUGH 4/24/

(2) BENZ(A)ANTHRACENE AND CHRYSENE COULD

(3) ONLY SAMPLED FOR FECAL COLIFORM.

(4) THE VALUE REPORTED IS THE RESULT OF QU